News Magazine

Multimedia

Subjects

Surveys

Link this

Careers

Stumble

Tweet this

Search

The Scientist » Magazine » Careers

New School

Graduate programs at the interface of quantitative and biological sciences set the stage for more interdisciplinary collaboration.

8+1 3

By Abby Olena | February 1, 2014



he days of publishing a paper based on the cloning and sequencing of a single gene are long past. Now, the life sciences are dominated by increasingly bigger data sets, generated by highthroughput sequencing and large-scale proteomic screens. Interpreting such an abundance of information is a challenge for researchers with minimal computational training, spawning many successful collaborations among biologists, computer scientists, and mathematicians. But those with training in more than one of these fields are at a growing advantage in this interdisciplinary era, and faculty from disparate departments are joining forces to ensure that the next generation of scientists is appropriately prepared.

"We believe the traditional training programs' either for math or biology are not sufficient to produce the type of students that can best work in

the areas of mathematical, computational, and physical biology," says Qing Nie, who helped launch the mathematical and computational biology (MCB) graduate program at the University of California, Irvine (UCI) in January 2007.

"Biology on the whole is becoming more and more quantitative, and not just from the big-data point of view in terms of genomics, but also in terms of the types of precise measurements that people are doing in the biological world," agrees Daniel Zuckerman, associate director of a joint program in computational biology between the University of Pittsburgh (Pitt) and Carnegie Mellon University (CMU), launched in 2005.

The first programs to merge more quantitative fields with traditional biology training were launched nearly 10 years ago. In 2004, MIT and Harvard each began graduate programs in systems biology, inviting students with backgrounds in both biological and computational disciplines to methodically examine how biological systems operate at all levels of complexity. Since then, dozens of institutions have followed suit. Most recently, Rice University welcomed seven students to Texas last fall as the first class of a new program focused on systems, synthetic, and physical biology. The goal of all of these new programs is to expose young researchers to both the biological fundamentals and the mathematical, computer science, and engineering skills that can inform a more quantitative study of biology, as well as to help them overcome the barriers that have traditionally divided scientific disciplines.

"One of the most important things we're learning here is how to communicate with people whose expertise is different from our own," says third-year CMU-Pitt grad student Kristina Buschur, who double-majored in math and molecular biology in college.

Here, *The Scientist* speaks with students, faculty, and administrators in programs that combine the best parts of multiple fields to educate burgeoning scientists for biology's computational future.

Coming together

Follow The Scientist











Stay Connected with The Scientist

- The Scientist Magazine
- The Scientist Careers
- Neuroscience Research Techniques
- Genetic Research Techniques
- f Cell Culture Techniques
- f Microbiology and Immunology
- Cancer Research and Technology
- f Stem Cell and Regenerative Science

Popular Posts

- 1. Viruses Reconsidered
- 2. Ancient Giant Virus Discovered
- 3. RNA World 2.0
- 4. Bacteria's Role in Bowel Cancer
- 5. High Protein, High Risk?

Current Issue



View the March 2014 contents.

In 2002, Nie, a professor of mathematics and biomedical engineering, and UCI colleague Arthur Lander, a biomedical engineer and professor of cell and developmental biology, were working together to study the diffusion of patterning factors called morphogens during animal development when they realized that "any long-term success in research and any institutionalized effort in this area of systems biology needed a good education program," Nie says. The duo was inspired to expand interdisciplinary science at UCI, and after almost five years of planning, the MCB program was born.

The program is currently a one-year gateway, in which students take required core classes within the program during their first year and then join their chosen research mentor's home department. But due to student requests for a more complete, degree-awarding program, the UCI faculty is now in the process of developing a stand-alone PhD program in mathematical, computational, and systems biology.

At the University of California, San Francisco (UCSF), researchers have been enthusiastic about cross-disciplinary graduate training for at least 15 years. "The departmental boundaries and structures that have previously dominated graduate education are becoming archaic," says UCSF molecular biologist and biochemist Joe DeRisi. Even before DeRisi came to UCSF in 1999, most of the university's scientific graduate programs were purely nondepartmental, meaning students join and receive their degrees from programs that span multiple departments. Structuring graduate education in this way "really lends itself toward interdisciplinary training," he says. So it was no great leap for DeRisi and others to introduce the complex-systems-biology emphasis into UCSF's quantitative biology graduate program in 2007.



DIVERSITY IN SCHOOL: The Integrative Program in Quantitative Biology at the University of California, San Francisco, brings together students trained in computational fields with fledgling biologists.



IDEA BOARD: Post-it notes containing the students' experimental and computational ideas for attacking a theoretical challenge in quantitative biology. The notes are clustered together by similarity and theme, to give an overall map of concepts.

But starting a new graduate program of any kind, never mind one that requires the involvement of a number of diverse researchers, is not easy. Faculty from different departments tend to have dissimilar ideas about what a qualifying exam should encompass, for example, or the sorts of courses that PhD students should take. And beyond the time and input needed to create the curriculum, which usually involves the development of original course material, getting approval for new academic programs from university administrators can take months or years. "It was a huge amount of bureaucratic and scientific and political negotiating to get the program started," Zuckerman recalls of the launch of the CMU-Pitt program in computational biology.

But the faculty members behind these programs all agree that the challenges inherent in starting a program can't compare to those faced by a young biologist entering today's competitive field with little or no computational training. "One of the problems with biology training is that it isn't quantitative enough," says Eric Sobie, codirector of the systems biology program at the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai in New York City. The program launched in 2007, thanks to a National Institutes of Health grant, and focuses on the systems biology of disease and therapeutics. Students combine classes in biomedical modeling with laboratory work to illuminate the interconnected aspects of disorders.

Other new interdisciplinary programs are structured in similar ways. Molecular biology courses are taught alongside programming and biophysics classes, while faculty members from many departments mentor students to ensure that they get the most effective training. "It's absolutely true that our students engage in very interdisciplinary projects," says UCSF's DeRisi. "A person that came in really just being a codehead—a computer scientist—now would be purifying the protein themselves, crystallizing it, and writing new code to analyze the results."

Learning the lingo

Students entering interdisciplinary programs rarely come in with the same academic background. In UCI's mathematical and computational biology program, the incoming grad students are split fairly evenly—about a third of them have a background in math or physics, a third in engineering, and a third in biology. In Mount Sinai's systems biology program, about 65 percent of entering students having been trained in biology, while the remaining students are from quantitative disciplines, such as biomedical

Subscribe to RSS feed

The Nutshell
News & Opinion
Careers

engineering, math, or physics. The incoming classes at UCSF's quantitative biology program, in contrast, are usually heavy on students with computational training, with a few biologists sprinkled in.

Such diversity can be a boon to education and research, says MD/PhD student Jason Cook, who recently earned his PhD from Mount Sinai. Cook studies Marfan syndrome, a genetic disorder of the connective tissue, and as with any complex disease, he says, it's not particularly helpful to look at a single mutation without considering the protein's interactions with the hundreds of other molecules in its environment. Working in an interdisciplinary program has allowed Cook, who majored in biology as an undergrad, to collaborate with computer programmers to efficiently screen for Food and Drug Administration-approved drugs that might be useful in treating the disorder.



LAB FUN: Philip Thomas, a graduate student in the University of California, Irvine, Mathematical and Computational Biology Graduate Program, prepares nematode samples for analysis.

COURTESY OF FELIX GRUN, CENTER FOR COMPLEX BIOLOGICAL SYSTEMS

Diversity can also be a challenge, notes DeRisi, particularly when it comes to communication. "The physicists may never have done any bench work; the biologists may never have written their own programs," he says. "We know that they're not going to be really using the same language to speak about life sciences when they get here."

To help put students from diverse backgrounds on a level playing field, programs often start with a two-to three-week boot camp prior to the first academic year. Boot camps can include quantitative preparations, like MatLab and Mathematica workshops and shotgun courses in differential equations, as well as lecture-hall and wet-lab training in genetics, protein engineering, synthetic biology, and bioinformatics. More-advanced graduate students often assist with the training, reinforcing their own interdisciplinary educations.

After boot camp, first-year UCSF students participate in a hands-on learning course called dynamical systems. In a laboratory built specifically for the course, the class is divided into groups of students with diverse backgrounds. "The goal is that these teams that come out of this experience are not only bonded tightly together," says DeRisi, "but they have also become simultaneously conversant in the multiple languages of biology, physics, engineering, and computer science."

According to students, the hybrid programs are meeting these goals with flying colors. "One of the biggest and the best takeaways from this program is the ability to communicate across disciplines," says UCI third-year student Alyssa Kent, who studied math as an undergraduate. "I know how to talk to a biologist and get the resources that I might need in my research."

Out of the nest

For the programs that have been around long enough to see their first graduates enter the post-PhD research world, the interdisciplinary training seems to be serving them well. Based on his training at Mount Sinai, Cook says the systems biology program has given him "an understanding of how you refine all of this amazing data that you get to make sense out of a problem," which will serve as "a foundation for all of our future work."

The combination of computational and biological skills can also be a boon for job-hunting. From the CMU-Pitt program, about half of the students pursue an academic track and half go to industry, mostly biotech. And for those who choose to stay in academia, says Tim Mitchison, codirector of Harvard's systems biology program, cross-disciplinary training will position them well to join any of the growing number of systems biology programs. "If you want to be on the professor track?... it's hard to think of anything better in biology," he says.

Even the Harvard program's dropouts—fewer than 10 percent of students who join—"immediately get a job," Mitchison adds. "The emphasis on computer literacy just gives people a lot of backups."

DeRisi agrees. "In this day and age of the competitive funding environment, students that possess these multiple skill sets are incredibly marketable."

School	Program	Year founded	Core Courses	Degree Earned
Carnegie Mellon University and University of Pittsburgh	Program in Computational Biology	2005	 Laboratory Methods for Computational Biologists Computational Structural Biology Computational Genomics Cell and Systems 	PhD in Computational Biology

			Learning	
Mount Sinai School of Medicine	Systems Biology of Disease and Therapeutics	2007	 Systems Biomedicine, Principles of Pharmacology Cell Signaling Systems Systems Biology: Biomedical Modeling 	PhD in Biomedical Sciences
University of California, Irvine	Mathematical and Computational Biology Graduate Program	2007	 Molecular, cellular, and evolutionary biology Mathematical computational biology Critical thinking 	PhD in advisor's home department
University of California, San Francisco	Integrative Program in Quantitative Biology (Complex Biological Systems Emphasis)	2007	 Dynamical Systems Molecular Dynamics of the Cell Macromolecular Interactions Bioinformatics 	PhD in Bioinformatics or Biophysics with a special emphasis in Complex Biological Systems
Rice University	Program in Systems, Synthetic, and Physical Biology	2013	Physical BiologySystems BiologySynthetic Biology	PhD in Systems, Synthetic, and Physical Biology

Modeling Machine

Tags

systems biology, science education, higher education, education and computational biology



Add a Comment



Sign In with your LabX Media Group Passport to leave a comment

Not a member? Register Now!

Comments



February 27, 2014

The title here is misleading. Mathematics is a tool of all scientists, and it is wrong to characterize some as 'more quantitative' and others as 'more descriptive'. Rather, science is simply a philosophy of knowledge that deals with the process of hypothesis and testing of hypotheses through repeatable observations, and dismisses any role of consensus or authority in this process.

The separation of knowledge into disciplines is not itself a scientic process, and the widespread practice of teaching knowledge by authority is in fact a violation of that process. In our educational system, we have far too little real science taught. I guess that the real education is to move beyond the constraints imposed by the educational process.

Sign in to Report

February 27, 2014



Posts: 46

I agree that "those with training in more than one of these fields (biologists, computer scientists, and mathematicians), are at a growing advantage in this interdisciplinary era" and I come to you with the result of my field of research: **electromagnetic field**. Because "the geneticists are fostering open discourse in a setting built on trust, understanding, autonomous decision-making, and participation", to increase "medical benefits and positive social impacts" (An Open Invitation - By Aaron Buseh - December 1, 2013, on The Scientist) and "engineering skills can inform a more quantitative study of biology, as well as to help them overcome the barriers that have traditionally divided scientific disciplines", please, be ready to listen the reasoning opinion of an engineer specialized in quantum energy and wireless communication:

Abstract: Adam mtDNA inheritance - ISBN 978-606-92107-1-0

The necessary and sufficient processes to a well function of the human body are meticulous arranged by specific organizational cells, so called process bio-managers, using inter-conditioned procedures, transmitted through three ways of communication: chemical or protein channel, electrical or ion channel and mitochondrial or electromagnetic field wireless channel.

The third type is out of the visible and measurable spectrum and raises a new challenge to the scientist. For this type of bio communication we bring a new theoretical hypothesis, based on the managerial multidisciplinary analysis of a cybernetic model proposed by us, by simulating the human body function with the virtual computerized system based on the management of its total knowledge and its perfect quality way of function. The main bricks used for this virtual construction are: the brain, as main bio-processor, and Eve mtDNA and Adam mtDNA, as bioantennas.

This assembly of the total knowledge, build with brain reasoning, biological feeling, and unlimited soul feeling, is called by us main decision triangle, IQ-EQ-CQ.

The main principle of the management of the total knowledge imposes us to not neglect the information produced by man during the time, even if it seems creasy at the beginning (see brainstorming definition). Because in the natural fertilisation the spermatozoids are naturally equipped with the paternal mtDNA (it looks like reflex klystron power amplifier, KPA = a veritable main bio-GPS), we consider that the paternal mitochondria DNA have a very important role in the evolution of the human being life quality and we have developed a new hypothesis, Adam mtDNA theory in addition to Eve mtDNA theory. Keywords: brain, mitochondria, maternal, paternal

See also:

http://www.the-scientist.com/?articles.view/articleNo/38366/title/An-Open-Invitation/

http://www.the-scientist.com/? articles.view/articleNo/36098/title/Debating-Bioethics-Openly/

http://www.the-scientist.com/? articles.view/articleNo/34857/title/Immune-System-Kills-Cancer/

http://www.the-scientist.com/? articles.view/articleNo/34108/title/Immune-to-Failure/

Sign in to Report

Related Articles



Campus Considerations

By Matthew Tuthill



Teaching Moments

By Tracy Vence



Retaining Female Scientists

Career options and research opportunities at two-year colleges are often underappreciated.

Professors at Clayton State University in Morrow, Georgia, are using retracted studies to teach biology and chemistry students about the process of science

By Tracy Vence

Efforts that encourage women to pursue STEM careers surpass those aimed at keeping them in those fields, according to the U.K. House of Commons Science and Technology Committee.

TheScientist









© 1986-2014 The Scientist

Home News & Opinion The Nutshell Multimedia Magazine Advertise About & Contact Privacy Policy Job Listings Subscribe Archive

Now Part of the LabX Media Group: Lab Manager Magazine | LabX | LabWrench